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MRP2 and the handling of mercuric ions in rats exposed acutely to inorganic and organic species of mercury
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**Abstract**

Mercuric ions accumulate preferentially in renal tubular epithelial cells and bond with intracellular thiols. Certain metal-complexing agents have been shown to promote extraction of mercuric ions via the multidrug resistance-associated protein 2 (MRP2). Following exposure to a non-toxic dose of inorganic mercury (Hg++) in the absence of complexing agents, tubular cells are capable of exporting a small fraction of intracellular Hg++ through one or more undetermined mechanisms. We hypothesize that MRP2 plays a role in this export. To test this hypothesis, Wistar (control) and TR− rats were injected intravenously with a non-nephrotoxic dose of HgCl₂ (0.5 μmol/kg) or CH₃HgCl (5 mg/kg), containing [²⁰³Hg], in the presence or absence of cysteine (Cys; 1.25 μmol/kg or 12.5 mg/kg, respectively). Animals were sacrificed 24 h after exposure to mercury and the content of [²⁰³Hg] in blood, kidneys, liver, urine and feces was determined. In contrast, the amount of mercury in urine and feces was significantly lower in TR− rats than in controls. Data from membrane vesicles indicate that Cys−conjugates of Hg++ and methylmercury (CH₃Hg+) was measured in inside-out membrane vesicles prepared from either control Sf9 cells or Sf9 cells transfected with human MRP2. The amount of mercury in the total renal mass and liver was significantly greater in TR− rats than in controls. In contrast, the amount of mercury in urine and feces was significantly lower in TR− rats than in controls. Data from membrane vesicles indicate that Cys−conjugates of Hg++ and CH₃Hg+ are transportable substrates of MRP2. Collectively, these data indicate that MRP2 plays a role in the physiological handling and elimination of mercuric ions from the kidney.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Within biological systems, certain mercuric species have a particularly high predilection to interact with, and be transported into, epithelial cells lining the convoluted and straight segments of renal proximal tubules (Zalups, 2000). Once mercuric ions gain access to the intracellular compartment of these cells, they tend to be retained in the cells due to a complex set of bonding interactions with protein- and non-protein thiols (Zalups, 2000). This is especially true for mercuric ions bonded to protein thiols, which have a much lower probability of being eliminated from the intracellular environment than mercuric ions bonded to non-protein thiols. Despite the intracellular retention of mercuric ions, there appears to be some degree of luminal secretion of certain mercuric species, leading to the urinary excretion of mercuric ions (Zalups and Koropatnick, 2000).

It is important to note that certain small, vicinal, dithiol-containing compounds, such as 2,3-dimercaptopropane-1-sulfonic acid (DMPS) and meso-2,3-dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA), can effectively extract a large fraction of the cellular burden of mercuric ions from proximal tubular cells (Aposhian, 1983; Zalups et al., 1991, 1998; Aposhian et al., 1992, 1995; Zalups, 1993b). At least some of this extraction appears to involve the multidrug resistance-associated protein (MRP2). MRP2 is a member of the ATP-binding cassette transporter family and is located in the apical membrane of proximal tubular epithelial cells (Schaub et al., 1997). It has been shown to mediate the transport of a broad range of substrates into the lumen of proximal tubules (Deeley et al., 2006; Aremu et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2008). Findings from a recent set of studies from our laboratory indicate that MRP2 likely plays a significant role in the DMPS- and DMSA-mediated extraction of mercuric ions from proximal tubular cells and contributes to the subsequent urinary excretion of mercury (Hg) (Bridges et al., 2008a,b; Zalups and Bridges, 2009).

Although our previous studies provide important data implicating MRP2 in the proximal tubular accumulation and extraction of mercuric species in the presence of select metal-complexing agents, the role of MRP2 in the normal handling and disposition of mercuric species by proximal tubular cells has not been examined thoroughly. It is interesting to note that the intracellular concentration of glutathione (GSH) in renal tubular cells has been shown to increase following exposure of rats to a nontoxic dose of HgCl₂ (Lash and Zalups, 1996). This increase in intracellular GSH may facilitate the formation of GSH-S-conjugates of Hg in the intracellular environment.
Previous studies have shown that, in the absence of complexing agents, mercuric ions may be secreted with GSH into the lumen of proximal tubules (Tanaka-Kagawa et al., 1993). Given that MRP2 has been shown to mediate the GSH-dependent export of certain compounds (Deele et al., 2006), and that MRP2 appears to play a role in the secretion of mercuric ions in the presence of complexing agents (Bridges et al., 2008a,b; Zalups and Bridges, 2009), we propose that this carrier may also play a role in the normal secretion of mercuric ions from proximal tubular cells. Therefore, the purpose of the current study was to assess the ability of MRP2 to mediate the extraction of mercuric ions from renal tubular cells in rats exposed to HgCl₂ or CH₂HgCl₂ that were not treated with a metal-complexing agent such as DMPS or DMSA. The present study utilized Wistar (control) and TR⁻ (MRP2-deficient) rats and provides data indicating that MRP2 does indeed play a role in the extraction of mercuric ions from kidney, as well as liver, under normal, physiological conditions.

Materials and methods

Chemicals. Radioactive HgCl₂ ([²⁰³Hg]) was produced by irradiation of mercuric oxide at the Missouri University Research Reactor facility as described previously (Belanger et al., 2001; Bridges et al., 2004). Briefly, three milligrams of mercuric oxide were sealed in quartz tubing with an acetylene torch. The encapsulated mercury was irradiated by neutron activation for 4 weeks at the Missouri University Research Reactor (MURR) facility, following which the quartz tube was crushed and rinsed with four 50-μL washes of 1 N HCl. All rinses were collected in a single polystyrene vial. The radioactivity of the solution was determined by using a PerkinElmer Wallac Wizard 3 automatic gamma counter (Gaithersburg, MD). The specific activities of the [²⁰³Hg] ranged from 6 to 12 mCi/mg.

Radioactive methymercury (CH₂[²⁰³Hg]) was synthesized from [²⁰³Hg] using a previously published protocol adapted from the method of Rouleau and Block (Rouleau and Block, 1997; Zalups and Bridges, 2009). Briefly, two mCi of [²⁰³Hg] were diluted in de-ionized water, to which 2 M acetate buffer and 2 mL of 1.55 mM methylcobalamin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) were added. Methylcobalamin is used as a source of methyl groups for generating CH₃Hg⁺. The aforementioned solution was incubated for 24 h at 25 °C in a fume hood, after which 30% potassium chloride in 4% hydrochloric acid was added to the mixture. CH₃[²⁰³Hg] was extracted with five washes of dichloromethane (Sigma), which was evaporated by bubbling nitrogen gas through the solution. The pure CH₃[²⁰³Hg] was collected and stored at −20 °C. The purity of the extracted CH₃[²⁰³Hg] has been confirmed previously by thin layer chromatography (Rouleau and Block, 1997).

Animals. Male transport deficient (TR⁻) rats and normal Wistar (control) rats weighing 200–225 g were purchased from Harlan Laboratories (Indianapolis, IN). TR⁻ rats are characterized by the absence of MRP2 function (Paulusma et al., 1996; Maher et al., 2005). These rats have been used in recent years to study the hepatic and renal secretion of various MRP2 substrates (de Vries et al., 1989; Masereeuw et al., 2003; Smets et al., 2004). In addition, our laboratory and others have used these animals to study the renal secretion of various MRP2 substrates (de Vries et al., 1989; Rabenstein, 1973). Based on this finding, we assume that HgCl₂ and Cys, mixed in a 1:2.5 ratio, forms the linear conjugate, Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys. Similarly, a mixture of CH₂HgCl₂ and Cys (1:1.25 ratio) forms the linear conjugate, Cys-S-CH₂-Hg. For simplicity, co-exposure of rats to HgCl₂ and Cys or CH₂HgCl₂ and Cys, will hereafter be referred to exposure to Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys or Cys-S-CH₂-Hg, respectively.

Intravenous injections. Control and TR⁻ rats were injected i.v. with a non-nephrotoxic dose of HgCl₂ or CH₂HgCl₂ as described previously (Zalups, 1993b; Bridges et al., 2008a,b). Briefly, each animal was anesthetized and a small incision was made in the skin in the mid-ventral region of the thigh to expose the femoral vein and artery. HgCl₂ or CH₂HgCl₂ (with or without Cys) was administered into the vein and then the wound was closed using two 9-mm stainless steel wound clips. After injection, each animal was placed in individual plastic metabolic cages, in which water and food were provided ad libitum.

Collection of tissues, organs, urine and feces. Twenty-four hours after the initial injection of HgCl₂ or CH₂HgCl₂, rats were anesthetized with an i.p. injection of ketamine/xylazine (70/15 mg/kg in 2 mL/kg saline). Two 1-ml samples of blood were obtained from the inferior vena cava. One of the samples was placed in a polystyrene tube for determination of total content of Hg, while the other sample was placed in a Microtainer tube (Becton Dickenson and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ), which was centrifuged at 21,000 g for 90 s to separate the cellular and plasma fractions. Each fraction was placed in a separate tube for estimation of Hg content. Total blood volume was estimated to be 6% of body weight.

Subsequently, the right and left kidneys were removed from each animal, weighed, and cut in half along a transverse plain. A 3-mm transverse slice of the left kidney was utilized for dissection of cortex, outer stripe of outer medulla, inner stripe of outer medulla and inner medulla. Each zone of the kidney was weighed and placed in a polystyrene tube for estimation of Hg content. In addition, the liver was excised carefully, weighed, and a 1-g section was removed for determination of Hg content.

Urine and feces were collected throughout the duration of the experiment. Urine from each animal was mixed and a 1-ml sample was weighed and placed in a polystyrene tube for estimation of Hg content. All of the feces excreted by each animal were counted to determine accurately the total fecal excretion of Hg. The amount of Hg in each sample was determined by counting in a Wallac Wizard 3 automatic gamma counter (Perkin Elmer, Boston, MA).

Membrane vesicle transport assays. Membrane vesicle transport assays were performed using a rapid filtration method as described previously (Chancy et al., 2000; Bridges et al., 2008b). Control and MRP2-expressing, inside-out membrane vesicles were purchased from Xenotech (Lenexa, KS), a distributor for Solvo Biotechnology (Budapest, Hungary). Control vesicles were prepared from normal SF9 cells while MRP2 vesicles were prepared from SF9 cells transfected stably with human MRP2. Prior to use, vesicles were centrifuged at 100,000 g for 40 minutes at 4 °C to remove the storage buffer. Vesicles were resuspended in ice-cold incubation buffer (250 mM sucrose, 10 mM Tris/HCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.4) by passing the suspension...
through a 27-gauge needle 25 times. Mercuric conjugates were formed by mixing 5 μM HgCl₂ containing [²⁰³Hg] or CH₃HgCl containing CH₃[²⁰³Hg] with 12.5 μM Cys in incubation buffer supplemented with 10 mM creatine phosphate and 100 μg/ml creatine phosphokinase in the presence or absence of 5 mM ATP. [¹²⁵I]-Leukotriene C₄ (LTc₄), a known substrate for MRP2 (Zhou et al., 2008), was purchased from PerkinElmer. At the time of the experiment, membrane vesicles and mercuric conjugates were warmed to 37 °C. Transport was initiated by the addition of 40 μL of membrane vesicle solution (20 μg protein) to 160 μL of radiolabeled substrate. Transport was allowed to proceed for a selected period of time, after which, vesicles were collected on pre-wet Tuffryn filter discs (pore size, 0.4 μm; Pall, Ann Arbor, MI). Filters were washed with two changes (8 mL each) of ice-cold incubation buffer containing 1 mM DMPS. Filters from experiments utilizing mercury were placed in scintillation vials and the radioactivity present was determined by liquid scintillation spectroscopy and standard isotopic computational methods.

**Data analyses.** Data for each experiment were analyzed first with the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test for normality and then with Levene’s test for homogeneity of variances. Data were then analyzed using a two-tailed Student’s t-test or a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to assess differences among the means. When statistically significant F-values were obtained with ANOVA, the data were analyzed using Tukey’s post hoc multiple comparison test. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Burden of Hg in the total renal mass**

In control rats, the renal burden of Hg 24 h after exposure to HgCl₂ was approximately 50% of the administered dose (Fig. 1A). In TR⁻ rats exposed to HgCl₂, the renal burden of Hg was 60% of the administered dose, and was significantly different than that of corresponding control (Wistar) rats. When rats were exposed to Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys, the renal burden of Hg in both, control and TR⁻ rats was significantly greater than that of corresponding rats treated with HgCl₂ (Fig. 1A). Following administration of CH₃HgCl, the renal burden of Hg in both strains of rats was approximately 5% of the administered dose (Fig. 1B). No significant differences were detected between control and TR⁻ rats exposed to CH₃HgCl. The renal burden of Hg in control and TR⁻ rats exposed to Cys-S-CH₃Hg was four-fold greater than that of rats exposed to CH₃HgCl alone. The renal burden of Hg was slightly, yet significantly, greater in TR⁻ rats exposed to Cys-S-CH₃Hg than that in the corresponding group of control rats (Fig. 1B).

**Concentration of Hg in renal zones**

The majority of renal Hg was localized in the cortex and outer stripe of the outer medulla (Figs. 2 and 3). Amounts of Hg detected in the inner stripe of the outer medulla and the inner medulla represented less than 1% of the administered dose (data not shown). In the cortex, the concentration of Hg tended to be greater in TR⁻ rats exposed to HgCl₂ or Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys than in corresponding groups of control rats (although differences were not statistically significant) (Fig. 2A). Moreover, exposure of rats to Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys resulted in a significant increase in the cortical concentration of Hg in both strains of rats (Fig. 2A). The concentration of Hg in the outer stripe of the outer medulla was slightly greater (albeit not significantly) in control rats exposed to HgCl₂ or Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys than in the corresponding group of TR⁻ rats.

**Amount of Hg in liver and blood**

The hepatic burden of Hg 24 h after exposure to HgCl₂ was approximately 3.5% of the administered dose in controls and 6.5% of the dose in TR⁻ rats (Fig. 4A). When control rats were exposed to Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys, the hepatic burden of Hg increased significantly to nearly...
hematological burden of Hg was associated with cellular components of blood, with the remaining 1% present in plasma (data not shown).

**Amount of Hg in urine and feces**

When control rats were exposed to HgCl₂, approximately 4% of the administered dose was detected in urine in 24 h (Fig. 6A). In contrast, only about 1.5% of the administered dose of HgCl₂ was excreted in urine of TR⁻ rats. The content of Hg excreted by control rats exposed to HgCl₂ was not significantly different from that of control rats exposed to Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys (Fig. 6A). Similarly, urinary excretion of Hg of TR⁻ rats exposed to HgCl₂ was not significantly different from that of TR⁻ rats exposed to Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys.

Twenty-four hours after control rats were exposed to CH₃HgCl, the urinary excretion of Hg was approximately 0.5% of the administered dose (Fig. 6B). This excretion was not significantly different from that of corresponding TR⁻ rats. When control rats were exposed to Cys-S-CH₃Hg, the urinary excretion of Hg was twofold greater than that of control rats exposed to CH₃HgCl alone. In contrast, TR⁻ rats exposed to Cys-S-CH₃Hg excreted less Hg in the urine than TR⁻ rats exposed to CH₃HgCl (Fig. 6B).

The fecal excretion of Hg after 24 h in control rats exposed to HgCl₂ accounted for approximately 3% of the administered dose (Fig. 7A). This excretion was significantly greater than that of the corresponding group of TR⁻ rats, in which fecal excretion of Hg was approximately 1.5% of the administered dose. Following exposure of control rats to Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys, the fecal excretion of Hg was significantly greater.
The uptake of Cys-vesicles were observed only after measuring transport for 5 minutes. Differences in the uptake of Cys-\(\text{Cys})\). (B) Rats were injected (i.v.) with either CH3HgCl (5 \(\mu\)mol/kg) or Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys (0.5 \(\mu\)mol/kg). Rats were sacrificed 24 h following injection with Hg and livers were harvested for estimation of Hg content. Data represent mean± SE of four rats. * Significantly different (\(p<0.05\)) from the corresponding mean for rats of the same strain exposed to HgCl2 alone. This excretion was also greater than that of TR− rats exposed to HgCl2 alone. This excretion was also greater than that of TR− rats exposed to HgCl2 alone. This excretion was also greater than that of corresponding rats exposed to HgCl2 alone.

Uptake of \(\text{LTC}4\) and \(\text{Hg}\) by MRP2-expressing membrane vesicles

Uptake of \([\text{H}]\)-\(\text{LTC}4\) was measured in inside-out vesicles prepared from either control SF9 cells (control vesicles) or SF9 cells transfected stably with human MRP2 (MRP2 vesicles) (Fig. 8). The uptake of \(\text{LTC}4\) was significantly greater in MRP2 vesicles than in control vesicles.

Uptake of Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys (Fig. 9A) and Cys-S-CH3Hg (Fig. 9B) was measured in control vesicles and MRP2 vesicles. Significant differences in the uptake of Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys between control and MRP2 vesicles were observed only after measuring transport for 5 minutes. The uptake of Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys was significantly greater in MRP2 vesicles than in control vesicles. Similarly, the uptake of Cys-S-CH3Hg was significantly greater in MRP2 vesicles than in control vesicles. Significant differences in the uptake of Cys-S-CH3Hg between control and MRP2-containing membrane vesicles were detected when samples were incubated for 2.5 and 5 minutes.

Discussion

Following exposure to Hg-containing compounds, mercuric ions accumulate readily in target tissues and organs, particularly the kidneys. Previous dispositional studies have shown that the kidney is the primary site of Hg accumulation following exposure to inorganic forms of Hg (Zalups, 2000). Indeed, in as little as 1 hour, 50% of an administered dose of inorganic mercury is present in the kidney (Zalups, 1993a). Within the kidney, mercuric ions accumulate preferentially in proximal tubular epithelial cells (Zalups, 2000). Mercuric species appear to gain access to the intracellular compartments of these cells via specific mechanisms on the luminal and basolateral plasma membranes. At the luminal membrane, the sodium-independent amino acid transporter, system \(b^{0,+}\), has been shown to transport at least a fraction of the Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys present within the tubular lumen into cells (Bridges et al., 2004). At the basolateral membrane, Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys present in blood appears to gain access to proximal tubular cells via the organic anion transporter (OAT1) and/or OAT3 (Aslamkhan et al., 2003; Zalups et al., 2004).

The primary site where the toxic effects of organic forms of mercury, specifically methylmercury (CH3Hg+), are manifested is the brain. However, the kidney is one of the major sites for accumulation of CH3Hg+. Like Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys, Cys-S-conjugates of CH3Hg+ (Cys-S-CH3Hg) have been shown to be taken up into proximal tubular cells by
OAT1 in the basolateral membrane (Zalups and Ahmad, 2005). On the luminal plasma membrane, the sodium-dependent amino acid transporter, system B0,\(^+\), appears to play a role in the proximal tubular uptake of Cys-SHg (Bridges and Zalups, 2006). It should be noted that, within biological systems, methylmercuric ions may be oxidized to inorganic species of Hg (Gage, 1964; Norseth and Clarkson, 1970a,b; Omata et al., 1980).

Regardless of the form of Hg to which one is exposed, once mercuric ions enter the intracellular compartment of proximal tubular cells, they form strong bonds with protein and non-protein thiols. This bonding significantly decreases the cellular elimination of mercuric ions. Numerous studies have shown that metal-complexing agents such as DMPS and DMSA can effectively extract mercuric ions from within proximal tubular cells (Aposhian, 1983; Aposhian et al., 1992, 1995; Zalups, 1993b; Bridges et al., 2008a,b). These compounds are water-soluble and are used throughout the world as antidotes for mercury poisoning. Until recently, the cellular mechanisms by which DMPS and DMSA extracted mercuric ions were unknown. Our recent studies in control and TR\(^−\) rats indicate that MRP2 is a significant component in at least one of the cellular pathways utilized by DMPS and DMSA to extract mercuric ions from proximal tubular cells (Bridges et al., 2008a,b; Zalups and Bridges, 2009).

Despite our recent findings implicating MRP2 in the DMPS- and DMSA-mediated extraction of Hg, little is known about the renal handling and elimination of mercuric ions under normal, physiological conditions. A thorough understanding of the way in which Hg is handled under these conditions is important since many humans are exposed, either occupationally or environmentally, to low levels of mercury on a daily basis. The exposure received by these individuals rarely leads to clinical intervention and chelation therapy. Rather, mercuric ions accumulating in tissues and organs are eliminated slowly over time via mechanisms that are unclear currently. Since MRP2 plays a role in the DMPS- and DMSA-mediated elimination of mercuric ions, we hypothesized that it may also participate in the elimination of

![Fig. 6. Content of Hg in urine of control and TR rats. (A) Rats were injected (i.v.) with either HgCl\(_2\) (0.5 μmol/kg) or Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys (0.5 μmol/kg HgCl\(_2\) + 1.25 μmol/kg Cys). (B) Rats were injected (i.v.) with either CH\(_3\)HgCl (5 μmol/kg) or Cys-S-CH\(_3\)Hg (5 μmol/kg CH\(_3\)HgCl + 12.5 μmol/kg Cys). Rats were sacrificed 24h following injection with Hg. Data represent the total amount of Hg excreted in urine throughout the 24-h experiment. Data represent mean±SE of four rats. * Significantly different (p<0.05) from the corresponding mean for rats of the same strain exposed to HgCl\(_2\) or CH\(_3\)HgCl. + Significantly different (p<0.05) from the corresponding mean for control rats.](image)

![Fig. 7. Content of Hg in feces of control and TR rats. (A) Rats were injected (i.v.) with either HgCl\(_2\) (0.5 μmol/kg) or Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys (0.5 μmol/kg HgCl\(_2\) + 1.25 μmol/kg Cys). (B) Rats were injected (i.v.) with either CH\(_3\)HgCl (5 μmol/kg) or Cys-S-CH\(_3\)Hg (5 μmol/kg CH\(_3\)HgCl + 12.5 μmol/kg Cys). Rats were sacrificed 24h following injection with Hg. Data represent the total amount of Hg excreted in feces throughout the 24-h experiment. Data represent mean±SE of four rats. * Significantly different (p<0.05) from the corresponding mean for rats of the same strain exposed to HgCl\(_2\) or CH\(_3\)HgCl. + Significantly different (p<0.05) from the corresponding mean for control rats.](image)

![Fig. 8. Uptake of leukotriene C\(_4\) (LTC\(_4\)) into inside-out membrane vesicles from control and MRP2-expressing Sf9 cells. Control and MRP2-containing vesicles were exposed to 50 nM LTC\(_4\) at 37 °C for 2.5 minutes. Data represent two experiments performed in triplicate. * Significantly different (p<0.05) from the corresponding mean for control vesicles.](image)
CH$_3$Hg, more Hg was detected in kidneys of TR$^-$ rats exposed to HgCl$_2$ or CH$_3$HgCl. Similarly, previous studies from our laboratory using normal Sprague Dawley rats (Zalups and Bridges, 2009). When this carrier is absent or non-functional, as is the case in TR$^-$ rats, mercuric ions that would normally be exported via MRP2 are retained within tubular epithelial cells. Considering this, the present data indicate that MRP2 plays a role in the normal handling and export of mercuric ions by renal tubules.

When rats were exposed to Hg as Cys-Hg-Cys or Cys-CH$_3$Hg, more Hg was detected in kidneys of TR$^-$ rats than in corresponding control rats. This finding is likely due to the absence of MRP2 activity in the proximal tubular epithelial cells of TR$^-$ rats. We proposed previously that MRP2 mediates the transport of mercuric ions from the intracellular compartment of proximal tubular cells into the tubular fluid for elimination in the urine (Bridges et al., 2008b; Zalups and Bridges, 2009). When this carrier is absent or non-functional, as is the case in TR$^-$ rats, mercuric ions that would normally be exported via MRP2 are retained within tubular epithelial cells. Considering this, the present data indicate that MRP2 plays a role in the normal handling and export of mercuric ions by renal tubules.

Fig. 9. Uptake of Hg into inside-out membrane vesicles from control and MRP2-expressing Sf9 cells. Control and MRP2-containing vesicles were exposed to 5 μM Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys (A) or Cys-S-CH$_3$Hg (B) at 37 °C for times specified above. Data represent two experiments performed in triplicate. * Significantly different (p<0.05) from the corresponding mean for control vesicles.

Mercuric ions in the absence of these compounds. Indeed, our current data indicate that MRP2 is involved in the normal handling and elimination of mercuric ions. In addition, we show that the disposition of mercuric ions is different depending on whether rats are exposed to HgCl$_2$, Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys, CH$_3$HgCl or Cys-S-CH$_3$Hg. These data are novel in that they were obtained from experiments that examined the handling and disposition of mercuric ions in the absence of metal-complexing agents.

Following exposure of rats to HgCl$_2$, Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys, and Cys-S-CH$_3$Hg, more Hg was detected in kidneys of TR$^-$ rats than in corresponding control rats. This finding is likely due to the absence of MRP2 activity in the proximal tubular epithelial cells of TR$^-$ rats. Exposure of rats to HgCl$_2$ or Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys resulted in a renal burden of Hg that was greater than that observed when rats were exposed to HgCl$_2$ alone. It was not surprising to find that the renal burden of Hg was slightly lower 48 h after exposure to HgCl$_2$ or Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys than it was 24 h after exposure to these compounds. The increase in renal burden of Hg following exposure to Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys may be related directly to the increased availability of transportable forms of Hg (i.e., Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys and Cys-S-CH$_3$Hg).

Within the kidney, the majority of mercuric ions were detected in the cortex and outer stripe of the outer medulla. This finding was expected considering that the proximal tubule, which spans these two renal zones, is the primary site of accumulation of mercuric ions (Zalups, 2000). In addition, the proximal tubule is also the location of MRP2 (Schaub et al., 1997). Given this localization, it was not surprising to find that the cortical concentration of Hg, following exposure to HgCl$_2$ or Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys, was greater in TR$^-$ rats than in control rats. As mentioned previously, this difference is likely due to the lack of MRP2 activity in TR$^-$ rats. Interestingly, when rats were exposed to CH$_3$HgCl or Cys-S-CH$_3$Hg, the concentration of Hg in the cortex and outer stripe of the outer medulla of control rats was not different from that of TR$^-$ rats. It is unclear why this pattern of disposition was observed, especially considering that significant differences in Hg disposition were observed at the level of the total renal mass between control and TR$^-$ rats.

Urinary excretion of Hg was related inversely to the renal burden of Hg. The content of Hg in urine of control rats was greater than that of corresponding TR$^-$ rats. This pattern was similar to that of a previous study in which rats were sacrificed 48 h after exposure to mercuric compounds (Bridges et al., 2008a,b; Zalups and Bridges, 2008). In the absence of MRP2 activity, one would expect to find an increase in the accumulation of mercuric ions in renal tissue and a corresponding decrease in urinary elimination. Based on the current findings, we suggest that MRP2 plays a role in the proximal tubular elimination of Hg. It should be noted that the form of Hg (with or without Cys) to which TR$^-$ rats were exposed did not alter the content of Hg in the urine of these rats. Although exposure to a readily transportable form of Hg (i.e., Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys) enhanced renal accumulation of this metal, the urinary elimination of mercuric ions was not increased. This finding suggests that intracellular events may significantly affect the ability of cells to export mercuric species. Our data in membrane vesicles from Sf9 cells suggest that Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys is capable of being exported from proximal tubular cells via MRP2; therefore, we suggest that interactions of mercuric ions with intracellular components may reduce the ability of proximal tubular cells to export mercuric species into the tubular lumen for elimination in the urine.

Based on the current data, methylmercury and inorganic mercury appear to be handled differently by the kidney. One major difference is in the renal accumulation of mercuric ions. When rats are exposed to HgCl$_2$ or Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys, the renal burden of Hg is approximately 50% and 70% of the dose, respectively, while the renal burden of Hg in rats exposed to CH$_3$HgCl or Cys-S-CH$_3$Hg is only about 5 and 20% of the administered dose, respectively. In addition, the pattern of urinary excretion of Hg was different between rats exposed to HgCl$_2$ or Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys and those exposed to CH$_3$HgCl or Cys-S-CH$_3$Hg. In control rats exposed to Cys-S-CH$_3$Hg, the amount of Hg in urine was significantly greater than that of rats exposed to CH$_3$HgCl. This finding may be due simply to the increased burden of Hg in renal tubules. In addition, the chemical properties of methylmercury may facilitate its transport across plasma membranes and subsequent elimination in urine. Significant differences were not observed in the urinary elimination of mercuric ions between control and TR$^-$ rats exposed to CH$_3$HgCl, but the total renal burden of Hg was not different between these two groups of rats. One possible explanation is that a large fraction of the mercuric ions excreted by control and TR$^-$ rats
exposed to CH₃HgCl are derived from the glomerular filtrate rather than from within proximal tubular cells and that this difference masks differences in the proximal tubular elimination of mercuric ions between control and TR⁻ rats. This idea is supported by the fact that over 40% of the administered dose of CH₃HgCl is present in blood (compared with 1-2% of an HgCl₂ dose). In TR⁻ rats exposed to Cys-S-CH₃Hg, the urinary elimination of mercuric ions was lower than that of rats exposed to CH₃HgCl. This lower elimination may be due to the observed retention of mercuric ions within renal tissue of TR⁻ rats.

In liver, MRP2 is localized in the canaliculial membrane and participates in the hepatobiliary export of numerous substances (Zhou et al., 2008). It has also been suggested that MRP2 participates in the export of mercuric ions from hepatocytes following exposure to HgCl₂ (Sugawara et al., 1998). Therefore, it is not surprising to find that the hepatic burden of Hg was significantly greater in all groups of TR⁻ rats than into the corresponding groups of control rats. These data correspond well with the observed fecal elimination of Hg. The amount of Hg eliminated in the feces was greater in both groups of control rats than in corresponding groups of TR⁻ rats. These findings suggest that mercuric ions are being sequestered within hepatocytes of TR⁻ rats because of the inability of these cells to export mercuric conjugates into bile via MRP2. It is important to note that some fecal elimination of Hg was observed. This excretion may be due to intestinal secretion of mercuric ions (Zalups, 1998) and/or export from hepatocytes via one or more mechanisms other than MRP2. It should be noted that the hepatic burden of Hg was significantly greater in control rats exposed to Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys than in corresponding rats exposed to HgCl₂. As discussed previously, this finding is likely related to the availability of a transportable form of Hg. The fecal elimination of control rats exposed to Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys was slightly greater than that of control rats exposed to CH₃HgCl and is likely a direct result of the increased hepatic accumulation of mercuric ions in following exposure to Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys.

The disposition of mercuric ions in blood was dependent upon the form of mercury to which rats were exposed. When control and TR⁻ rats were exposed to Cys-S-CH₃Hg, the hematological burden of Hg was half that of corresponding rats exposed to CH₃HgCl. Of the dose of mercury in blood, 99% was associated with cellular components. It is possible that following exposure to CH₃HgCl, a large fraction of CH₃Hg⁺ is taken up into erythrocytes and is subsequently sequestered there. Consequently, fewer mercuric ions are available for transport into target cells (e.g. renal and hepatic) and therefore, absorption into these cells is reduced. When mercury is administered as Cys-S-CH₃Hg, it is possible that the binding of Cys with CH₃Hg⁺ inhibits the movement of mercuric ions into erythrocytes long enough for Cys-S-CH₃Hg to be taken up by target organs. This phenomenon would explain why the burden of Hg in blood is twofold greater in rats exposed to CH₃HgCl than corresponding rats exposed to Cys-S-CH₃Hg. A similar pattern of mercury disposition was observed in rats exposed to Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys and HgCl₂. The hematological burden of mercury was greater in rats exposed to HgCl₂ than in corresponding rats exposed to Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys. This difference may be due, in part, to sequestration of a fraction of mercuric ions in erythrocytes and/or to the presence of a transportable species of mercury in circulating blood. Interestingly, the mercuric ions detected in blood following exposure to Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys or HgCl₂ were distributed evenly between plasma and cellular components. This distribution was different than that of Cys-S-CH₃Hg and CH₃Hg⁺, and is likely due to differences in the way in which Hg⁺ and CH₃Hg⁺ are handled by cells.

The interpretation of our current data is limited somewhat due to the single time point utilized in this study. Nonetheless, these data appear to support a role for MRP2 in the physiological handling (i.e., in the absence of complexing agents) of mercuric ions following exposure to HgCl₂, Cys-S-Hg-S-Cys, CH₃HgCl, or Cys-S-CH₃Hg. In addition, the present study shows that co-administration of Hg with Cys enhances accumulation of mercuric ions in both, control and TR⁻ rats. Regardless of the form of Hg to which rats are exposed, MRP2 appears to be involved in the renal export of mercuric ions. Collectively, our data indicate that there is movement and elimination of mercuric ions under normal, physiological conditions and that MRP2 is probably involved in this elimination pathway.
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