Conformable Pressure Vessels for Alternate Fuel Storage

A Case Study in Product Development

Richard K. Kunz, Ph.D., P.E.

Mercer University March 30, 2006



There is a significant need for the development of alternatives to traditional-(gasoline- and diesel-) fueled vehicles

- Geopolitical: dependence on foreign sources
- Environmental: carbon monoxide, NOx, ozone

There are several potential alternative fuels

- Natural gas Ethanol
- Hydrogen Propane

There are a number of barriers to widespread acceptance of alternate-fuel vehicles

- Cost
- Infrastructure
- On-board storage

Identify a need

- Understand the State of the Art
- Develop a concept that potentially addresses the need

Quantify the benefits

Demonstrate feasibility of the concept

- Cost
- Safety
- Producibility
- Durability
- Functionality







The Need

Gaseous fuels for alternative fuel vehicles have lower energy density than gasoline and diesel fuel

Cylindrical tanks do not package well within the substantially rectangular envelopes available on vehicles

Vehicle range is a significant limiting issue

There is a need for non-cylindrical pressure vessel technology





✓ Identify a need

Understand the State of the Art

Develop a concept that potentially addresses the need

Quantify the benefits

Demonstrate feasibility of the concept

- Cost
- Safety
- Producibility
- Durability
- Functionality







State of the Art – Cylindrical Pressure Vessels





Sum forces in horizontal direction:

$$\sigma_a(2\pi R)t - p(\pi R^2) = 0$$

$$\sigma_a = \frac{pR}{2t}$$

Cylindrical Pressure Vessels – Design Considerations

For thin-walled cylindrical pressure vessels: $\sigma_h = \frac{pR}{t}$ $\sigma_a = \frac{pR}{2t}$

Cylinder walls react the pressure load in a membrane state (no bending)

End domes can also be configured to minimize bending ۲

For cylindrical pressure vessels, hoop stress is twice the axial stress

- This limits the structural efficiency (pV/W) of metal cylinders
- Metal spherical tanks have a higher efficiency than cylinders, but do not package as well

Continuous fiber composite materials provide an ideal solution for optimizing the structural efficiency of cylindrical tanks

- Higher strength-to-weight ratio than metals
- Efficiency can be further increased by structural tailoring more fibers in hoop direction

Types of Cylindrical Tanks



Type 1: All metal





Type 2: Metal with composite hoop wrap



Type 3: Metal liner with full composite wrap Type 4: Plastic liner with full composite wrap

Composite Tank Fabrication: Filament Winding

Continuous fibers under tension are applied to a mandrel

Carbon fiber bundles (tows) consist of 12k fibers provided on a spool; four tows constitute a band

Wet-winding vs. pre-preg winding

Helical layers cover cylinder plus domes

Hoop plies cover cylinder section only

Oven curing to harden the resin follows winding

Mandrel doubles as an impermeable barrier to the stored high-pressure gas



✓ Identify a need

- ✓ Understand the State of the Art
- Develop a concept that potentially addresses the need

Quantify the benefits

Demonstrate feasibility of the concept

- Cost
- Safety
- Producibility
- Durability
- Functionality







Conformable Tank Constraints/Concepts

Must more efficiently conform to a rectangular envelope than cylinders

Tank walls under pressure must be cylindrical or consist of cylindrical segments

- Require primarily membrane loading
- The laws of physics prevail

Should be able to be fabricated by filament winding

The low transverse strength of composites must be considered

Think of an air mattress . . .

... or bubbles that stick together ...

Multi-cell concept



Cross-section of multi-cell tank is shown

Each individual cell (c) is wound with both hoop (h) and helical (a) plies

An overwrap (o) of entirely hoop (h) plies is applied to the assembly of cells

Preliminary Prototype Design Considerations

How are loads distributed among the tank components?

How do we determine the thicknesses of the cell wrap and overwrap?

Approximations for preliminary design/analysis

- Hoop plies carry all the hoop loads
- Helical layers carry all the axial loads
 - E₁/E₂ \approx 10
 - Helical fibers are not in axial direction ($\alpha \approx 15-20^{\circ}$)

Methodology

- Draw free-body diagrams of tank components
- Examine loads and equilibrium
- Determine thicknesses by equating strains







Axial Loads



$$\sigma_{ac} \left(\pi R_1 + \pi R_2 + 2(R_1 - R_2) \right) t_{ac} - p \left(\frac{\pi R_1^2}{2} + \frac{\pi R_2^2}{2} + R_2(R_1 - R_2) \right) = 0$$

$$\sigma_{ac} = \frac{p}{t_{ac}} \frac{\frac{\pi R_1^2}{2} + \frac{\pi R_2^2}{2} + R_2 (R_1 - R_2)}{\pi R_1 + \pi R_2 + 2(R_1 - R_2)}$$

Preliminary Thicknesses

Determine approximate thicknesses

- Equate hoop stress in cell and overwrap to ultimate stress in fiber-direction, $\sigma_{\rm f}$
- Equate axial stress to $\sigma_{\rm f}$ times Stress Ratio (SR)
 - Knockdown factor in recognition of non-uniform dome stresses
 - Desired failure mode is hoop failure in cylinder section
 - SR = 0.6 to 0.8
- Adjust for actual layer thicknesses



✓ Identify a need

- ✓ Understand the State of the Art
- ✓ Develop a concept that potentially addresses the need

Quantify the benefits

Demonstrate feasibility of the concept

- Cost
- Safety
- Producibility
- Durability
- Functionality







Potential Benefits of Conformable Storage Concept



✓ Identify a need

- ✓ Understand the State of the Art
- ✓ Develop a concept that potentially addresses the need
- ✓ Quantify the benefits

Demonstrate feasibility of the concept

- Cost
- Safety
- Producibility
- Durability
- Functionality







Proof-of-Concept Prototype

Goal

• To demonstrate the capability of the conformable design concept to sustain pressure

Ground Rules

- No expensive tooling or hardware required
- Burst pressure should be "substantial" and predictable
- A path to full-scale production processes should be evident
- No need to optimize the design for weight or cost
- Overall size of the tank is not important
- A low burst could signal an end to the program



Prototype Design

Establish a target burst pressure

• 5000 psig

Establish overall tank dimensions

• 11 x 16 x 20 in

Dome design

- Location of polar boss at centroid of section
- Dome geometry adapt cylinder geometry

Detailed design/analysis

- Finite element shell model
- Estimate materials properties and thicknesses in dome
- Adjust number of hoop, helical plies





Fabrication Considerations

Tooling

- Mandrels
 - Molds packed with sand/binder
 - When set, encase in thin rubber bladder
 - Following winding and cure, sand is washed out
- Special tooling
 - Polar boss
 - Fixturing cells for winding overwrap

Winding

- Fiber slippage
- Gaps and overlap



Prototype Testing

Hydroburst test conducted remotely

Painted white with stripes for visibility

Instrumented with strain gages on overwrap and domes

Filmed with high-speed camera

Burst at 4530 psi (90% of target)

Failure initiated in overwrap near one end

Both cells ruptured in cylinder region, extending to the dome

Examination of carcass revealed some waviness in fibers of overwrap







✓ Identify a need

- ✓ Understand the State of the Art
- ✓ Develop a concept that potentially addresses the need
- ✓ Quantify the benefits
- ✓ Demonstrate feasibility of the concept

- Cost
- Safety
- Producibility
- Durability
- Functionality







Liners

Requirements

- Permeability
- Rigidity
- Thermal stability

Material

- Plastic vs. metal
- High density polyethylene

Fabrication process

- Rotational molding
- Injection molding

Integration with polar boss/fill fitting

- O-ring seal
- Fill tube extension





Design/Process Modifications

Durability – resistance to cuts and abrasion

 Two layers of glass hoop fibers on outside of hoop overwrap

Performance – eliminate fiber waviness

- Pressurize liner during winding
- Foam insert in "Y-Joint" region between the cells

Pressure equalization between cells

• External manifold

Damage tolerance

External foam on domes





Safety Testing

Safety requirements dictated by industry standard ANSI-NGV2

- Burst pressure
- Cycle life
- Bonfire
- Bullet impact
- Pendulum impact
- Drop
- Environmental exposure (temperature, chemicals)
- Permeation
- Flaw tolerance









General Observations

There are potential show-stoppers at each step of the development process

• Early identification is beneficial

The last step of the process can easily consume the majority of resources

• The definition of "details" is a matter of perspective

The flow of the product development process is dependent on:

- Budget
- Staffing level
- Management commitment

In the automotive industry, cost is paramount

